Annexure E

ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS

ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY PLANNING AND COORDINATION

| Indicator title | Number of legislative tools developed. |
|--------------------------|--|
| Short definition | Shows the number of environmental legislative tools developed; |
| | including regulations, norms and standards, guidelines and |
| | environmental management plans developed to inform |
| | environmental decision making. Examples of such tools include |
| | EMF,SOER/Outlook, SEA, EIP, AQMP, IWMP, Biodiversity Plans, |
| | etc. |
| Purpose/importance | To guide and inform environmental decision making at policy, programme and project level. To also ensure that all stakeholders |
| | adhere to all relevant environmental law and legislation. |
| Source/collection of | Ŭ. |
| data | |
| Method of calculation& | Demand driven; simple count |
| Evidence type | Evidence = Approved EIP from DESTEA |
| | Approved IWMP from municipalities |
| | Approved IDP from FS Municipalities |
| | Approved AQMP from Provincial and FS Municipalities |
| | Approved EMF from Municipality |
| | Any other approved legislative tools |
| Data limitations | Stakeholders not corporative in terms of proving required data for review process |
| | Stakeholders not providing sufficient data. |
| | Stakeholders not providing data on time. |
| | The APP target for 2018/19 is zero, as relevant tools were |
| | developed during the 2017/18 financial year. |
| Type of indicator | Output (Approved report or documentation) |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Satisfactory |
| Indicator responsibility | Monde Walaza |

| Indicator title | Number of environmental research projects completed. |
|---------------------------|---|
| Short definition | The collective number of different types of research projects completed during the reporting period. This includes reviews, scientific research projects, monitoring projects and collaborative projects. |
| Purpose/importance | To support environmental decision making, planning and policy development through credible data and evidence generated through research programmes. |
| Source/collection of data | EA Branch |
| Method of calculation& | A research project is counted when a project has been finalized |
| Evidence type | and approved by the delegated authority. A project is counted only once when finalised irrespective of the number of surveys done or reports compiled on the project during the reporting period. Evidence = Approved research concept documents; approved research reports; copies of publications; specialist comments. |
| Data limitations | Inaccessibility and unavailability of data. |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Simple count |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Satisfactory |
| Indicator responsibility | Coenie Erasmus |

| Indicator title | Number of provincial Air Quality Management Plans reviewed |
|--------------------------|--|
| Short definition | Shows the total number of designated organs of state that have |
| | approved and implemented Air Quality Management Plans, which |
| | were reviewed in line with the Air Quality Act requirements. |
| Purpose/importance | It indicates the level of management effectiveness towards |
| | improved air quality. |
| Source/collection of | Records of the approved AQMP's that are implemented by |
| data | designated organs of state |
| Method of calculation& | Count the number of approved AQMP's that are implemented by |
| Evidence type | designated organs of state |
| | Evidence=Copies of approved AQMP's |
| Data limitations | Demand driven; The ability of organs of state to develop AQMP's. |
| Type of indicator | Output. |
| Calculation type | Non- cumulative. |
| Reporting cycle | Annually. |
| New indicator | No. |
| Desired performance | Higher |
| Indicator responsibility | David Mofokeng |

| Indicator title | Number of functional environmental information management |
|--------------------------|---|
| | systems maintained (EIMS). |
| Short definition | It shows the number of relevant environmental knowledge and information management systems (e.g. ePermit, GIS, Air Quality, WIS, Biodiversity Sector Plans (GIS based tool), Environmental Authorizations Information Management Tools e.g. NEAS, State of the Environment Web Portals, NECER etc.) that are effectively maintained and reported on. |
| Purpose/importance | Ensure the maintenance of environmental knowledge and information management systems/tools which provide critical and reliable information used to inform management decisions on policy development and interventions. |
| Source/collection of | |
| data | WIS of DESTEA |
| | Other systems as stated in the short definition |
| Method of calculation& | Simple count: |
| Evidence type | Count every environmental information management system that is maintained and reported on (Number) Evidence = GIS maintained by Environmental Quality and Protection Chief Directorate evidence (Screenshot and reports) GIS maintained by Biodiversity Chief Directorate evidence (Screenshot and reports) WIS maintained by Environmental Quality and Protection Chief Directorate evidence (Screenshot and reports) Other systems as stated in the short definition (Screenshot and reports from various sections including Biodiversity) |
| Data limitations | Data source limitations and regularity of updates. Lack of integration between databases. Lack of integration between national and provincial databases. Lack of financial resources. Lack of stakeholder delivery of data (e.g. municipalities providing energy data). |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Satisfactory |
| Indicator responsibility | Monde Walaza. |

| Indicator title | Number of IDPs reviewed for environmental content as per |
|--------------------------|--|
| | requirements (DA/3.5). |
| Short definition | Review of the municipal Integrated Development Plans by checking |
| | if environmental indicator/s tool/s are considered. |
| Purpose/importance | To promote sound, coordinated and aligned planning and best |
| | environmental practices within Municipalities in order to ensure |
| | environmental sustainability and adhering to NEMA. |
| Source/collection of | Free State Municipalities; EA Branch, COGTA |
| data | |
| Method of calculation& | Simple count |
| Evidence type | Evidence = Attendance register of IDP assessment or review |
| | workshops or COGTA IDP review reports. |
| Data limitation | Demand driven, Depend on the municipality providing IDP's to be |
| | reviewed. |
| Type of indicator | Output (IDP Reports and/or Documents and Attendance register) |
| Calculation type | Simple count. |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| New indicator | No. |
| Desired performance | Satisfactory. |
| Indicator responsibility | Monde Walaza. |

| Indicator title | Number of climate change response interventions implemented. |
|---------------------------|---|
| Short definition | This refers to interventions implemented to respond to challenges and potential impacts of climate change. These include provincial climate change programmes, green-house gas mitigation, vulnerability and adaptation response programmes. |
| Purpose/importance | To mitigate against climate change and adapt to the impact of climate change in order to build climate change resilience, in line with the FS Province Climate Change Strategy. |
| Source/collection of data | EA Branch, Industry and Environment consultants |
| Method of calculation& | Simple count |
| Evidence type | Evidence = Programme and minutes of interventions and presentation material(s) |
| Data limitations | Accuracy of information captured depends on reliability and availability of resources for implementation. |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Satisfactory |
| Indicator responsibility | Monde Walaza |

| Indicator title | Number of intergovernmental sector tools reviewed. |
|---|---|
| Short definition | Review of provincial and municipal sector tools to facilitate integration of environmental content (e.g. Integrated Environmental Management Plans, Environmental Management Plans, Integrated Waste Management Plan, Air Quality Management Plans and Environment and Culture Sector Development Plan for EPWP) |
| Purpose/importance | To facilitate environmental cooperative governance and promote sustainable development across all spheres of government and promote sustainable development |
| Source/collection of data | EA Branch; Municipalities |
| Method of calculation& Evidence type | Simple count Evidence = Approved IEMP/EMP from FS Municipalities or; Approved IWMP from FS Municipalities or; Approved AQMP from DESTEA or FS Municipalities or; Approved E&C Sector Development Plan (Provincial) from DESTEA or; Approved E&C Sector Development Plan (Internal to DESTEA) from DESTEA. |
| Data limitations | Demand driven; The completion of the review process depends on external processes and the reliability of data depends on the reliability of the information within the tools subjected for review; and the accuracy of the analysis done and records kept. |
| Type of indicator | Output (Approved report or documentation) |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Higher |
| Indicator responsibility | Monde Walaza |

| Indicator title | Number of road shows conducted at municipal level relating to climate change. |
|---------------------------|--|
| Short definition | This refers to the number of climate change roadshows in different municipal areas including the metro within the Free State Province. The intension is to inform and educate municipal officials on relevant subject matters relating to climate change. |
| Purpose/importance | EA Branch (Environmental Education/Awareness, Climate Change |
| Source/collection of data | DESTEA EA records |
| Method of calculation& | Simple count. |
| Evidence type | Evidence = Attendance registers and presentation materials |
| Data limitations | Low turn-up of Stakeholders participation resulting in workshops being cancelled and postponed. |

| Type of indicator | Output |
|--------------------------|---------------|
| Calculation type | Simple count. |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly. |
| New indicator | Yes. |
| Desired performance | Higher |
| Indicator responsibility | Monde Walaza. |

COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT

| Indicator title | Number of completed green issue criminal investigations handed to the NPA for prosecution. |
|---------------------------|--|
| Short definition | The number of criminal enforcement actions completed for prosecution (finalised investigations in the form of J534s and criminal dockets handed to the NPA) in response to non- compliances with pollution, waste, air quality, impact assessment, protected areas, biodiversity and regulatory requirements including environmental authorizations, etc. (These are biodiversity related and are fauna and flora nature). |
| Purpose/importance | This indicator shows the number of criminal investigations completed by the Environmental Management Inspectorate and criminal dockets handed over for prosecution to the NPA. This is a reflection of the productivity of the Inspectorate in applying criminal sanctions to offenders in the green subsector. Enforcement activity required to punish offenders, apply the polluter-pays principle and deter would-be offenders. |
| Source/collection of data | DEA, EA Branch, Industry and Law Enforcement Agencies |
| Method of calculation& | Simple count |
| Evidence type | Evidence = Approved investigation reports, evidence of submission to NPA; dockets; notices. |
| Data limitations | Demand driven; Expensive litigation process and illegal syndicates operations |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Simple count |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Lower |
| Indicator responsibility | Ms. Nozi Nkoe |

| Indicator title | Number of completed brown issue criminal investigations handed |
|--------------------------|--|
| | to the NPA for prosecution. |
| | |
| Short definition | The number of criminal enforcement actions handed in for |
| Short definition | prosecution (finalised investigations in the form of J534s and |
| | criminal dockets handed to the NPA) in response to non- |
| | compliances with pollution, waste, air quality, impact assessment, |
| | protected areas, and regulatory requirements including |
| | environmental authorizations, etc. (These are non-biodiversity |
| | related and are not of fauna and flora nature). |
| Purpose/importance | This indicator shows the number of criminal investigations handed |
| r alpose/importance | in by the Environmental Management Inspectorate and criminal |
| | dockets handed over for prosecution to the NPA. This is a reflection |
| | of the productivity of the Inspectorate in applying criminal sanctions |
| | to offenders in the brown subsector. Enforcement activity required |
| | to punish offenders, apply the polluter-pays principle and deter |
| | would-be offenders. |
| Source/collection of | DEA, EA Branch, Industry and Law Enforcement Agencies, |
| data | DESTEA |
| Method of calculation& | Simple count |
| Evidence type | Evidence = Approved investigation report, evidence of submission |
| | to NPA; dockets; notices. |
| Data limitations | Demand driven; Expensive litigation process and syndicates |
| | operations |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Simple count |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Lower |
| Indicator responsibility | Ms. Nozi Nkoe |

| Indicator title | Number of administrative enforcement notices issued for non- compliance with environmental legislation. |
|--------------------|--|
| Short definition | The number of administrative enforcement actions issued (including administrative notices issued, pre-Directives, Directives, pre-Compliance notices and Compliance notices) in response to non-compliances with pollution, waste, air quality, impact assessment, protected areas, biodiversity and integrated coastal management legislative and regulatory requirements including environmental authorizations, etc. Note: A single case of non-compliance can have multiple enforcement notices issued against it. |
| Purpose/importance | To indicate the comprehensiveness of the monitoring of |
| | compliance with environmental legislation in the blue, green and |
| | brown sub-sectors and the issuing of administrative notices to bring |

| | offenders back into compliance where non- compliance/environmental harm is detected. Enforcement activity required to bring offenders into compliance, rehabilitate damage to the environment, apply the polluter-pays principle and deter would- be offenders. |
|---------------------------|---|
| Source/collection of data | EA Branch |
| Method of calculation& | Simple count. |
| Evidence type | Actual number of administrative actions issued. |
| | Evidence = Enforcement register and notices |
| Data limitations | Demand driven; Lack of a national compliance and enforcement |
| | information system to capture the statistics in a live and |
| | consolidated manner. Inaccurate data capturing. |
| Type of indicator | Output. |
| Calculation type | Simple count |
| | |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly. |
| New indicator | No. |
| Desired performance | Lower |
| Indicator responsibility | Ms. Nozi Nkoe |

| Indicator title | Number of compliance inspections conducted for green issues. |
|------------------------|--|
| Short definition | Number of inspections conducted to assess compliance with authorisations/permits issued in terms NEMA for pollution, waste, air quality, impact assessment, protected areas and biodiversity. This includes inspections arising from complaints and reports of non-compliance (Of biodiversity nature, and has a negative impact on the environment, including human life, plants and animals). |
| Purpose/importance | To monitor and enforce environmental transgressions, thus promote compliance and minimise actions that negate biodiversity |
| | protection and trade in protected and regulated species |
| Source/collection of | DEA, DESTEA EA Branch, Industry and Law Enforcement |
| data | Agencies |
| Method of calculation& | Actual number of inspections conducted following complaints and |
| Evidence type | / or monitoring compliance with environmental legislative |
| | requirements and / or authorizations inspected. |
| | Evidence = Inspections register; inspection reports and incidence |
| | photos; outcome report (initiator, verifier, approver) |
| Data limitations | Demand driven; Entrance to private land and unsafe flora and |
| | fauna protection environments e.g. poor and unsafe lion and tiger |
| | cages |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Simple count |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |

| Desired performance | Lower |
|--------------------------|---------------|
| Indicator responsibility | Ms. Nozi Nkoe |

| Indicator title | Number of compliance inspections conducted for brown issues. |
|--------------------------|--|
| Short definition | Number of inspections conducted to assess compliance with |
| | authorisations/permits issued in terms NEMA for pollution, waste, |
| | air quality, impact assessment and protected areas. (Not of |
| | biodiversity nature, but has a negative impact on the environment |
| | including human life, plants and animals). This includes inspections |
| | arising from complaints and reports of non-compliance. |
| Purpose/importance | To promote and comply with NEMA and its Regulations in the |
| | attainment of a safe and healthy environment e.g. controlling |
| | chemical spillages etc. |
| Source/collection of | Industry, DESTEA EA Branch, DEA and Sector departments |
| data | including the public |
| Method of calculation& | Simple count |
| Evidence type | Evidence = Inspection reports and incidence photos |
| Data limitations | Demand driven; Late reporting of incidents and industry non |
| | compliance |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Simple count |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Lower |
| Indicator responsibility | Ms. Nozi Nkoe |

| Indicator title | Number of Admission of Guilt Fines issued for Biodiversity related |
|------------------------|--|
| | transgressions. |
| Short definition | The issuing of Admission of Guilt Fines for biodiversity |
| | transgressions in terms of NEMA. |
| Purpose/importance | Administrative compliance and issuing of guilt fines to enforce |
| | biodiversity compliance and improve environmental sustainability |
| | To promote NEMA compliance and its regulations on flora and |
| | fauna. |
| Source/collection of | DESTEA EA Branch |
| data | |
| Method of calculation& | Simple count |
| Evidence type | Evidence = Register of fines issued and copies of fines issued. |
| Data limitations | Demand driven; Prolonged investigations and lack of informed |
| | knowledge on flora and fauna resource utilisation and trade |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Simple count |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |

| Desired performance | Lower |
|--------------------------|---------------|
| Indicator responsibility | Ms. Nozi Nkoe |

| Indicator title | Number of permits issued within legislative time-frame. |
|---------------------------|--|
| Short definition | Measure the number and level of adherence to prescribed timeframes for processing applications for various environmental management licences/permits/authorisation BABS etc. and according to NEMA and other legislative requirements (Refer to pages 4-5 of 2017-2020 SP) |
| Purpose/importance | To ensure that legislative natural resource permits are issued within the stipulated time frames. To see to it that no animals or plants are damaged or destroyed, The following environmental legislations: FS Nature conservation Ordinance 1969, Biodiversity Act 2004 require that permits be applied for. This is a service standard performance indicator /measure which is aimed of making sure that all received applications for environmental permits are processed and finalised (decision to issue or decline permit) is make within the prescribed/legislated timeframe and so that the expectations of applicants (key stakeholders) are always met. Applicants expect their applications to be processed and a decision to be made within the prescribed period. Defining and meeting service standards for key services rendered is in line with public service ethos/principles of Batho-Pele. |
| Source/collection of data | EA Branch |
| Method of calculation& | Simple count |
| Evidence type | Evidence = permits issued; register of permits issued. |
| Data limitations | Demand driven; Provincial permits management systems. |
| Type of indicator | Efficiency |
| Calculation type | Cumulative (year-end) |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No. |
| Desired performance | Satisfactory |
| Indicator responsibility | Mr. Coenie Erasmus |

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY MANAGEMENT

| Indicator title | Number of facilities trained on the Waste Information System. |
|--------------------------|--|
| Short definition | Officials responsible for waste management at municipalities are |
| | trained on how the system works and how to capture waste data |
| | and report. |
| Purpose/importance | To be able to know the amount of waste that is being produced in |
| | the Province and to comply with NEMA and other legislative |
| | requirements (Refer to pages 4-5 of 2017-2020 SP). |
| Source/collection of | EA Branch; Municipalities. |
| data | |
| Method of calculation& | Simple count |
| Evidence type | Evidence = Register of training sessions and presentation |
| | materials. |
| Data limitations | Lack of available officials at municipal level train. |
| Type of indicator | Output. |
| Calculation type | Cumulative. |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly. |
| New indicator | No. |
| Desired performance | Higher. |
| Indicator responsibility | Ms. Nozi M. Nkoe |

| Indicator title | Percentage of waste licenses applications finalised within legislated time-frames. |
|---|---|
| Short definition | It shows the percentage of complete air emission license applications where final decisions are made in the reporting period within legislated timeframe. (Refer to pages 5-6 of 2018-2020 SP for relevant legislation). |
| Purpose/importance | This indicator shows the efficiency and effectiveness of the consideration of waste licence applications. It also indicates the level of capacity made available by the department in pursuit of sustainable environmental management in the province. Numerator is all applications completed with legislative timeframes. Denominator is all applications received in year, including outstanding from previous year. |
| Source/collection of data | DESTEA EA Branch, NEAS system |
| Method of calculation& Evidence type | Percentage of waste management licences issued, refused, varied or withdrawn in the reporting period within the legislated timeframe. This is calculated as the total number of complete applications finalised within legislated timeframe divided by total number of applications finalised. 2016/17 Baseline = 80% Evidence = Register of waste license applications received |

| Data limitations | The reliability of the registers depends on the accuracy of the data captured. Numerator and denominator actual figures for 2018/19 can only be determined in year and not in advance due to its demand driven nature. |
|--------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | Output. |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly. |
| New indicator | No. |
| Desired performance | Satisfactory |
| Indicator responsibility | Ms M.Sello |

| Indicator title | Number of Waste Pickers trained on Social Enterprise |
|--------------------------|---|
| | Development and proper recycling methods |
| Short definition | Officials responsible for waste management at municipalities are |
| | trained on how the system works and how to capture waste data |
| | and report as per NEMA and other legislative requirements (Refer |
| | to pages 4-5 of the 2017-2020 SP). Support will be in the form of |
| | training and/or the provisioning of equipment. |
| Purpose/importance | To be able to know the numbers trained on waste economy in the |
| | Province in order to improve waste management and related |
| | practises. |
| Source/collection of | EA Branch |
| data | |
| Method of calculation& | Simple count |
| Evidence type | Evidence = Attendance Register of waste pickers trained. |
| Data limitations | Non-attendance. |
| Type of indicator | Output. |
| Calculation type | Periodic |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly. |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Higher |
| Indicator responsibility | Ms Nozi M. Nkoe |

| Indicator title | Percentage of complete EIA applications finalized within legislated |
|---|--|
| | time-frames. |
| Short definition | An application refers to when the Competent Authority has received an application form with complete information. The indicator shows the percentage of environmental authorisation applications where final decisions are made during the reporting period within legislated timeframes (also included are amendments to authorisations). |
| Purpose/importance | This indicator shows the efficiency of the consideration of EIA applications. The indicator also aims to ensure an efficient environmental legislative framework which supports sustainable development. For the reporting period, this indicator shows the efficiency of decision making on EIA applications. It also indicates the level of capacity made available by the department in pursuit of sustainable development in the province. Numerator is all applications completed with legislative timeframes in a year. Denominator is all applications received in year, including outstanding from the previous financial year. |
| Source/collection of data | National Environmental Authorisation System (NEAS). |
| Method of calculation& Evidence type | Count every EIA authorisation issued, within the legislated timeframe set for processing of an EIA application. In addition, for efficiency, express this as a percentage of the total number of applications finalised within legislated timeframe divided by total number of all finalised applications. 2017/18 Baseline =60% Evidence = Register of EIA applications received; NEAS reports. |
| Data limitations | The reliability of the register depends on the accuracy of the data captured in NEAS. The full functionality of the National Environmental Authorizations System (NEAS). Numerator and denominator actual figures for 2018/19 can only be determined in year and not in advance due to its demand driven nature. Demand driven. |
| Type of indicator | Output. |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly. |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Higher |
| Indicator responsibility | Ms Grace Mkhosana |

| Indicator title | Number of Air Quality Officers Forum meetings held. |
|--------------------------|---|
| Short definition | Information update and sharing platforms for department, |
| | municipalities and DEA on issues relating to air quality |
| | management and monitoring. |
| Purpose/importance | To address key issues related to the Air Quality Act and its |
| | Regulations, especially District Municipalities' responsibilities; to |
| | ensure compliance and to improve air quality in the province. |
| Source/collection of | DEA, DESTEA and Municipalities including private sector. |
| data | |
| Method of calculation& | Simple count |
| Evidence type | Evidence = Attendance register, forum programme and minutes |
| Data limitations | Availability of relevant AQ Officers. |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Simple count |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Satisfactory |
| Indicator responsibility | Ms. Nozi M Nkoe |

| Indicator title | Number of Waste Management Officers Forum meetings held |
|--------------------------|--|
| Short definition | Information sharing platform on issues relating to relevant |
| | processes and implementation status of the Waste Act, as per |
| | NEMA Regulations. |
| Purpose/importance | To inform, update and discuss pertinent developments in waste |
| | management and compliance related to service delivery and |
| | environment protection requirements of NEMA. |
| Source/collection of | DEA, EA Branch, private sector, Municipalities. |
| data | |
| Method of calculation& | Simple count |
| Evidence type | Evidence = Attendance register, forum programme and minutes |
| Data limitations | Availability of Waste Management Officers, poor attendance and |
| | non-environmental management friendly organograms at |
| | municipal level. |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Simple count |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Higher |
| Indicator responsibility | Ms. Nozi M Nkoe |

| Indicator title | Number of S24G applications finalized |
|--------------------------|---|
| Short definition | The number of section 24G NEMA applications finalised, including the payment of administrative fines by the offending |
| | parties and the issuing of final decisions as to whether or not to |
| | authorise an activity. |
| Purpose/importance | Indicates the trend in the volume of S24G applications received |
| Pulpose/importance | (including the number of applications in respect of unlawfully commencing with EIA and waste listed activities) in respect of illegal activities. |
| | i.e. with respect to environmental legislation dealing with EIAs and |
| | waste activities |
| Source/collection of | Compliance and Enforcement Unit. |
| data | |
| Method of calculation& | Simple count |
| Evidence type | Evidence = NEAS printouts; register of applications. |
| Data limitations | Lack of a national compliance and enforcement information system |
| | to capture the statistics in a live and consolidated manner. NEAS |
| | not gathering the required information in a comprehensive manner. |
| | Demand driven. |
| Type of indicator | Output. |
| Calculation type | Cumulative. |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly. |
| New indicator | New. |
| Desired performance | Lower. |
| Indicator responsibility | Ms. G. Mkhosana |

| Indicator title | Number of waste landfill sites environmentally audited |
|--------------------------|---|
| Short definition | To environmentally audit landfill sites that should comply with |
| | NEMA under the Waste Management Act. |
| Purpose/importance | To monitor and offer compliance advice in converting illegal |
| | landfills to legal landfills sites that are in compliance of WIMS. This |
| | is also to promote good practises on landfill sites by municipalities. |
| Source/collection of | EA Branch |
| data | |
| Method of calculation& | Simple count |
| Evidence type | Evidence = Register of landfill sites audited; approved audit reports |
| | by Chief Director. |
| Data limitations | Lack of municipal support |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| | |
| Calculation type | Simple count |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Satisfactory |
| Indicator responsibility | Ms. Nozi M Nkoe |

| Indicator title | Number of waste re-claimers supported with recycling equipment donations and/or relevant training. |
|---------------------------|--|
| Short definition | Support will be in the form of training and/or the provisioning of equipment to identified and/or proposals from various stakeholders. |
| Purpose/importance | In order to improve waste management practises and promotion of waste economy in the province. |
| Source/collection of data | EA Branch |
| Method of calculation& | Simple count |
| Evidence type | Evidence = Attendance registers of waste re-claimers supported, |
| | Equipment allocation letters to beneficiaries. |
| Data limitations | Non-attendance. |
| Type of indicator | Output. |
| Calculation type | Cumulative. |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly. |
| New indicator | New. |
| Desired performance | Higher |
| Indicator responsibility | Ms Nozi M. Nkoe |

BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT

| Indicator title | Number of new black game farmers registered in the DESTEA database |
|--------------------------|---|
| Short definition | Registration of all black game farmers wishing to participate within the Free State wildlife economy. |
| Purpose/importance | Promotion of wildlife economy. |
| Source/collection of | EA Branch |
| data | |
| Method of calculation& | Simple count, |
| Evidence type | Evidence = Register of farmers enrolled within the financial year |
| | and file for each farmer |
| Data limitations | Lack of cooperation from game farmers |
| Type of indicator | Output. |
| Calculation type | Simple count |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly. |
| New indicator | No. |
| Desired performance | Higher |
| Indicator responsibility | Ms Nozi M Nkoe |

| Indicator title | Number of new stewardships sites established to increase land under conservation through the implementation of the Biodiversity Stewardship Programme (BSP) |
|--------------------|---|
| Short definition | Increase the formal conservation estate through negotiation for one new stewardship site per annum. |
| Purpose/importance | Biodiversity Stewardship - (a) provides a cost effective mechanism for securing land for conservation in biodiversity priority areas without the often insurmountable limitations associated with the purchasing of land by the State; (b) ensures that private and communal areas with a high biodiversity value receive secure conservation status and are linked to a network of other conservation areas in the landscape. (c) contributes to the provincial and national targets in terms of protecting threatened ecosystems, expanding the provincial Protected Area network and maintaining the diversity and integrity of natural systems and landscapes; and (d) ensures that areas secured for conservation are effectively managed and monitored against set management criteria (management plans) 2. Guided by the National Environmental Management: Protected Area Expansion |

| | Strategy and the Free State Protected Area Expansion Strategy (2016)2.1 This indicator is a national obligation reflected as an indicator and target in the annual national Outcome 10 deliverable |
|--------------------------|---|
| Source/collection of | EA Branch |
| data | |
| Method of calculation& | Simple count. |
| Evidence type | Evidence = Application forms; approved submissions, Published |
| | Declarations/Intent to Declare; Stewardship Agreements. |
| Data limitations | None expected. |
| Type of indicator | Output. |
| Calculation type | Simple count. |
| Reporting cycle | Annual. |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Higher |
| Indicator responsibility | Mr Dave Hayter. |

| Indicator title | Number of hectares in the conservation estate. |
|---------------------------|--|
| Short definition | Measure an increase in the size (number of hectares) of Free State land coverage which has been declared/proclaimed to be under formal protection. (Includes all forms of conservation estate in the province both formal [those sites formally declared as protected areas] and informal [those sites registered as Conservancies]); both government owned and privately owned areas. |
| Purpose/importance | To ensure increase in land mass under formal conservation and ensure that the Free State's protected area network is of sufficient size to sustain and conserve biodiversity and ecological processes. 2. Guided by the National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act (Act 57 of 2003), The National Protected Area Expansion Strategy and the Free State Protected Area Expansion Strategy (2016) 2.1 This indicator is a national obligation reflected as an indicator and target in the national Outcome 10 deliverable. |
| Source/collection of data | EA Branch |
| Method of calculation& | Simple count: |
| Evidence type | Annual increase in hectares; annual closing balance. Evidence = Free State Protected Area register or Free State Conservancy Register or Registration certificate of protected area. |
| Data limitations | The accuracy of the data in terms of specific boundaries and hectares and access to information and clarity on ownership of protected land. Increase areas of conservation areas by all stakeholders. |

| Type of indicator | Output. |
|--------------------------|-----------------|
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Annually. |
| New indicator | No. |
| Desired performance | Satisfactory |
| Indicator responsibility | Mr Dave Hayter. |

| Indicator title | Number of block game farmers benefiting from denotions of game |
|---|---|
| indicator title | Number of black game farmers benefiting from donations of game |
| | of various species. |
| Short definition | Black Game Farmers who are recipients of game donated by the |
| | DESTEA during the financial year, as part of the Black Game |
| | Farmers Programme. |
| Purpose/importance | 1. Transformation of the industry and economic development. |
| | 2. Black Game Rancher Policy mandated through annual |
| | submissions and is a clear annual budget speech injunction. |
| | |
| Source/collection of | EA Branch |
| data | |
| Method of calculation& | Simple count |
| Evidence type | Evidence = Register of farmers enrolled within the financial year, |
| , | file for each farmer and formal allocation letters to beneficiaries |
| | |
| Data limitations | Lack of data captured on database. |
| Type of indicator | Output. |
| Calculation type | Simple count |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly. |
| New indicator | Yes |
| Desired performance | Higher |
| Indicator responsibility | Ms. Nozi M. Nkoe |

| Indicator title | Number of mentorship sessions for black game farmers. |
|------------------------|---|
| Short definition | Black Game Ranchers supported with wildlife economy related |
| | training by DESTEA and/or in partnership |
| Purpose/importance | Ensure understanding of the wildlife economy industry and economic development. |
| Course/collection of | |
| Source/collection of | EA Branch |
| data | |
| Method of calculation& | Simple count, |
| Evidence type | Evidence = Beneficiary list and attendance register of training |
| | session. |
| Data limitations | Unavailability of attendance register and non-attendance of |
| | farmers and/or game ranchers |
| Type of indicator | Output. |

| Calculation type | Simple count |
|--------------------------|----------------|
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly. |
| New indicator | No. |
| Desired performance | Higher |
| Indicator responsibility | Ms Nozi M Nkoe |

| Indicator title | Percentage of area of state managed protected areas assess with |
|--------------------------|---|
| | a METT score above 67% |
| Short definition | Management Effectiveness Tracking Tool (METT) is a system |
| | designed to monitor and report on the extent to protected areas are |
| | management effective to protect and conserve biodiversity. A |
| | METT score of 67% (and above) is the minimum required level of |
| D (1) (1) | effective management. |
| Purpose/importance | 1. To assesses the effectiveness of existing interventions which are |
| | being implemented and to use collected information to identify and |
| | implement appropriate interventions to improve the overall |
| | management effectiveness of state managed protected areas. 2. Mandated by the National Environmental Management: |
| | Protected Areas Act (Act 57 of 2003) |
| | 2.1 This indicator is a national obligation reflected as an indicator |
| | and target in the annual national Outcome 10 deliverable. |
| Source/collection of | |
| data | authorities) |
| Gata | DEA |
| Method of calculation& | Baseline = 70% of the area of the Free State Provincial Nature |
| Evidence type | Reserve Estate (129980ha) to attain a METT score of 67% or |
| | higher. |
| | Calculated by the sum total area (hectares) of all provincial nature |
| | reserves attaining a METT score of 67% or higher expressed as a |
| | percentage (%) of the total extent of all Provincial Nature Reserves |
| | (185686ha). 70% target therefore = 129980/185686 x 100) |
| | Èvidence = DEA METT score reports |
| Data limitations | The reliability and timeous submission of METT data from |
| | management authorities. |
| Type of indicator | Outcome. |
| Calculation type | Non-Cumulative. |
| Reporting cycle | Annually. |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Satisfactory |
| Indicator responsibility | Mr Dave Hayter |

| Indicator title | Number of biodiversity plans reviewed. |
|--------------------------|---|
| Short definition | A revised Biodiversity plan based on analysis of provincial |
| | terrestrial and aquatic information. |
| Purpose/importance | To inform decision making and spatial information planning in |
| | protecting sensitive biological diversity asset base and natural |
| | pristine environment |
| Source/collection of | SANBI, DEA, EA Branch, DARD and private game farm owners |
| data | including DRDLR. |
| Method of calculation& | Simple count |
| Evidence type | Evidence = Approved plan (initiator, verifier, approver) or outcome |
| | reports (initiator, verifier, approver). |
| Data limitations | Poor GIS information, Poor grazing land for game and poor |
| | implementation of The Biodiversity Economy Strategy imperatives |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Simple count |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| New indicator | Yes |
| Desired performance | Satisfactory |
| Indicator responsibility | Mr Coenie Erasmus |

| Indicator title | Number of biodiversity economy initiatives implemented. |
|---------------------------|--|
| Short definition | To measure the number of biodiversity economy initiatives implemented by the province in order to contribute to wildlife economy growth. |
| Purpose/importance | To ensure an inclusive, sustainable and responsive biodiversity economy while providing a foundation for social well-being and maintaining ecological resource base. |
| Source/collection of data | EA Branch |
| Method of calculation& | Simple count: |
| Evidence type | Annual Game Auction reconciliation report or attendance register on initiated workshops/awareness programmes by the Department |
| Data limitations | Lack of financial resource to run the game auction process and lack |
| | of attendance of buyers and/or participants attending the |
| | workshops/awareness programmes |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Simple count |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |

| New indicator | No |
|--------------------------|----------------|
| Desired performance | Higher |
| Indicator responsibility | Ms Nozi M Nkoe |

ENVIRONMENTAL EMPOWERMENT SERVICES

| Indicator title | Number of community members' work shopped on basic environmental management. |
|---------------------------|---|
| Short definition | Empowerment of community members. The training which is mostly a 3-5 working day training, could be on: Waste management and Recycling, Water Management, Air Pollution Control, Climate Change, Water Management, etc. |
| Purpose/importance | Community members to act as voluntary environmental ambassadors in communities to protect the environment. Some of the community members that are trained form part of municipalities EPWP programs. It is also, to empower communities with regards to environmental management knowledge so as to protect natural resources. |
| Source/collection of data | EA Branch |
| Method of calculation& | Simple count. |
| Evidence type | Evidence= Register of community members workshopped attendance registers |
| Data limitations | Accuracy of the data captured on the register. |
| Type of indicator | Output. |
| Calculation type | Cumulative. |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly. |
| New indicator | No. |
| Desired performance | Higher. |
| Indicator responsibility | Mr. Tladi Molebaloa |

| Indicator title | Number of registered schools for participation in an environmental education programme. |
|---|---|
| Short definition | Schools are registered as Eco-schools and supported with educational information. |
| Purpose/importance | To enhance environmental education and encourage schools to implement environmental projects. These actions creates environmental awareness amongst youths and should contribute towards sustainability. |
| Source/collection of data | EA Branch |
| Method of calculation& Evidence type | Simple count |

| | Evidence = Completed & signed registration forms; Register/database of Eco-Schools and educational material provided. |
|--------------------------|---|
| Data limitations | Accurate register content. |
| Type of indicator | Output. |
| Calculation type | Cumulative. |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly. |
| New indicator | No. |
| Desired performance | Higher |
| Indicator responsibility | Mr. John Kesi |

| Indicator title | Number of environmental community awareness activities conducted. |
|---|---|
| Short definition | Refers to the number of activities (workshop, session, presentation in a community meeting) organized towards promoting awareness about the environment. For example, awareness sessions conducted in schools, communities, visits by clients to environmental centres, distribution of pamphlets, celebration of environment commemorative days, exhibitions, clean-up campaigns, media campaigns, resources developed, greening or trees planted, etc. |
| Purpose/importance | To track environmental awareness efforts and promote environmental awareness in communities to contribute towards environmental sustainability. |
| Source/collection of data | EA Branch |
| Method of calculation& Evidence type | Manual count Evidence = Awareness materials/information and attendance register of awareness activities conducted |
| Data limitations | Inaccurate records and access to reliable data. |
| Type of indicator | Output. |
| Calculation type | Periodic |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly. |
| New indicator | No. |
| Desired performance | Higher. |
| Indicator responsibility | Mr. Tladi Molebaloa |

| Indicator title | Number of environmental capacity building activities conducted. |
|---|--|
| Short definition | Refers to the number of activities conducted in order to build stakeholder capacity to implement environmental regulatory framework and/or create work opportunities in environmental programmes and / or improve municipal and community environmental capacity as prescribed by NEMA and other legislative mandates (Refer to pages 4-5 of the 2017/18 SP). |
| Purpose/importance | To build capacity of stakeholders on the environmental regulatory framework and/or work opportunities in environmental programmes and/or related environmental issues to improve municipal and community environmental capacity. |
| Source/collection of data | EA Branch: activity reports and attendance registers |
| Method of calculation& Evidence type | Simple count Evidence = Attendance registers |
| Data limitations | Verification of data and reluctance and non-responsiveness by the stakeholder. |
| Type of indicator | Output. |
| Calculation type | Periodic |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No. |
| Desired performance | Higher |
| Indicator responsibility | Mr. Tladi Molebaloa |

| Indicator title | Number of quality environmental education resources materials |
|------------------------|---|
| | reviewed or developed. |
| Short definition | Refers to the number of educational resources materials reviewed |
| | for relevance and actuality of content or developed to support |
| | awareness, empowerment, education and capacity building efforts |
| | for transformative learning and practice in environment and |
| | sustainability education. |
| Purpose/importance | To assist with awareness and capacity building efforts, in order to |
| | ensure transformative environmental learning and practices. |
| Source/collection of | EA Branch |
| data | |
| Method of calculation& | Simple count |
| Evidence type | Evidence = Approved education resources materials revised or |
| | developed |
| Data limitations | Inaccurate records and access to reliable data. |
| Type of indicator | Outputs. |
| Calculation type | Cumulative. |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly. |
| New indicator | New. |
| Desired performance | Higher. |

| Indicator responsibility Mr. John Kesi |
|--|
|--|

| Indicator title | Number of environmental calendar days celebrated. |
|--------------------------|---|
| Short definition | Celebration of national environmental calendar days as identified by DEA. |
| Purpose/importance | To disseminate information about natural resources and promote environmental awareness. |
| Source/collection of | EA Branch |
| data | |
| Method of calculation& | Simple count. |
| Evidence type | Evidence = Attendance register or media release/statement |
| Data limitations | Attendance by stakeholders. |
| Type of indicator | Output. |
| Calculation type | Periodic |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly. |
| New indicator | No. |
| Desired performance | Satisfactory |
| Indicator responsibility | Ms Nozi M Nkoe |

| Indicator title | Number of environmental competitions conducted. |
|--------------------------|---|
| Short definition | Competition amongst local municipalities for implementation of |
| | environmental programmes such as waste management, water |
| | management and greening and landscaping within their towns. |
| Purpose/importance | To encourage municipalities to accelerate and improve |
| | management of physical environment in their respective towns in |
| | order to address long term sustainability and create socio- |
| | economic benefits. |
| Source/collection of | EA Branch; Municipalities. |
| data | |
| Method of calculation& | Simple count. |
| Evidence type | Evidence = register of municipalities or schools who entered the |
| | competition(s), output reports approved by the Chief Director and |
| | photos |
| Data limitations | Inaccuracy records kept. |
| Type of indicator | Output. |
| Calculation type | Periodic |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | Yes. |
| Desired performance | Higher. |
| Indicator responsibility | Mr. Tladi Molebaloa |

| Indicator title | Number of work opportunities created through environmental programmes (EPWP) |
|---------------------------|--|
| Short definition | To facilitate the creation of temporary jobs in Provincial Nature Reserves through the EPWP Grant, with a focus on women, youth and people with disabilities through environmental programmes and may include internship, learnerships, volunteer programmes, CBNRM, recycling and buy-back centres, nurseries. |
| Purpose/importance | To track job creation opportunities in the environment sector and to improve socio-economic benefits within the environmental sector. |
| Source/collection of data | |
| Method of calculation& | Simple count |
| Evidence type | Signed contracts. Departmental EPWP database. |
| Data limitations | Accuracy of the data depends on reliability of reported data. |
| Type of indicator | Output. |
| Calculation type | Periodic. |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly. |
| New indicator | Yes. |
| Desired performance | Higher. |
| Indicator responsibility | Mr Moeketsi Letolo |

| Indicator title | Number of towns cleaned |
|---------------------------|---|
| Short definition | Targeted cleaning campaigns in promotion of Section 24 of the RSA Constitution |
| Purpose/importance | To promote a clean and healthy environment that promotes and is in support of intergovernmental and cooperative governance service delivery. The program also directly support the COGTA based Back To Basics Programme. |
| Source/collection of data | EA Branch and Municipalities. |
| Method of calculation& | Simple count |
| Evidence type | Evidence = Register of towns cleaned; photos and letters of notification to the municipality about the cleaning programme. |
| Data limitations | Municipal support. |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Periodic |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| New indicator | Yes |
| Desired performance | Higher |
| Indicator responsibility | Ms Michelle Sello |

| Indicator title | Number of EPWP sector meetings facilitated. |
|--------------------------|---|
| Short definition | To facilitate the creation of temporary jobs through facilitating |
| | sector departments to report to DESTEA Nature Reserves. |
| Purpose/importance | To track job creation opportunities in the environment sector and |
| | to improve socio-economic benefits within the environmental |
| | sector. |
| Source/collection of | EA Branch. |
| data | |
| Method of calculation& | Simple count |
| Evidence type | Evidence: |
| | - Invitation |
| | - Attendance register |
| | - Minutes. |
| Data limitations | Availability of sector role players. |
| Type of indicator | Output. |
| Calculation type | Periodic. |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly. |
| New indicator | Yes. |
| Desired performance | Higher. |
| Indicator responsibility | Mr Moeketsi Letolo |